|  | ed States Patent an | ND TRADEMARK OFFICE  | UNITED STATES DEPAR<br>United States Patent and<br>Address: COMMISSIONER F<br>P.O. Box 1450<br>Alexandria, Virginia 222<br>www.uspto.gov | OR PATENTS       |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/812,416   | 03/30/2004          | Tetsuzo Ueda         | 43890-673  | 1264             |
| 7590 10/06/2005<br>MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY<br>600 13th Street, N.W.<br>Washington, DC 20005-3096 |                     |                      | EXAMINER   |                  |
|  |                     |                      | CRANE, SARA W  |                  |
|  |                     |                      | ART UNIT   | PAPER NUMBER     |
| _  |                     |                      | 2811   |                  |
|  |                     |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

.

|   |  |   | đ   |        |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
|   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/812,416  | UEDA ET AL.   |        |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |        |  |  |  |
|   |  | Sara W. Crane   | 2811  |        |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply           |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| WHICH<br>- Extension<br>after SID<br>- If NO per<br>- Failure to<br>Any repl  | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY<br>EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/<br>ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:15<br>(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>which for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v<br>o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute<br>by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing<br>patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUI<br>36(a). In no event, however, may<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M<br>, cause the application to become | NICATION.<br>a repty be timety filed<br>ONTHS from the mailing date of this<br>ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ R   | esponsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A  | uaust 2005.   |   |        |  |  |  |
| •   |  | action is non-final.  |   |        |  |  |  |
| 3) <u> </u>   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| cl  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Dispositio  | n of Claims  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ C   | laim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 5)🗌 C   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ C   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗌 C  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicatio  | n Papers   |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 9)🗌 Tł  | ne specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                         |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).        |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                    |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Priority un   | der 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).<br>a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                           |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                      |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| A44   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s  |  |   | w Summary (PTO-413)   |        |  |  |  |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |   |   |        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔀 Informa  | tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>lo(s)/Mail Date メーターター   | 5) 🛄 Notice (<br>6) 🛄 Other: _  | of Informal Patent Application (P1  | O-152) |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Trad  | emark Office   |   |   |        |  |  |  |

.

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 6, 21, and 30, what is meant by GaN-based epitaxial layers? Is there a

base layer of GaN? Or does "based" mean an alloy "based" on GaN? Would such an

alloy have to include Ga? (Is AIN "based" on GaN?)

In claim 1, does the film "formed on a substrate" mean the film is in contact with

the substrate? Or is the claim using the term "formed on" to mean "formed above"? (As

in for example claim 6)

Also, in claim 6, line 2, "layers" is plural but "a" implies the singular.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

## Application/Control Number: 10/812,416 Art Unit: 2811

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not seem to teach how to form a "GaN-based epitaxial layers having a 4H-polytype structure" as recited. Page 11, lines 17-21, teaches growth parameters for the AIN buffer layer, but lines 22-23 say only that the 4H-GaN layer is grown by MOCVD. Wouldn't one of ordinary skill need to know all of the growth parameters such as those given for the AIN layer, in order to grow the GaN layer without undue experimentation? Examiner's understanding is that careful optimization is needed in order to end up with the desired 4H structure (?) Or would any MOCVD growth process whatever give the 4H result? It seems that the most important part of the teaching was simply left out of the specification.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an AIN film having 4H-polytype , does not reasonably provide enablement for BInAIGaN having 4H-polytype. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The single embodiment of AIN does not provide enablement for all of the compositions included in the scope of claim 1. Each different material would require its own set of growth conditions, and undue experimentation would be required for any alloy other than the AIN as taught in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,416 Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

The supervisor for Art Unit 2811, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ora W Crane

Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner Art Unit 2811